(if different from order date)

חדווד

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

JUN - 2 2015

for the Western District of Virginia United States of America Case No.: 1:13CR00036-005 V. USM No: 17900-084 Thomas John Dull Defendant's Attorney Date of Previous Judgment: September 23, 2014 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of ☐ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ✓ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of months is reduced to I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures) Previous Offense Level: Amended Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Criminal History Cagtegory: Amended Guideline Range: to Previous Guideline Range: to II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. Other (explain): III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS The two-level reduction pursuant to Amendment 782 was applied at the time of sentencing; therefore, no further reduction is warranted. Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date: James P. Jones, U.S. District Judge Effective Date:

Printed name and title